BEFORE THE STATE OF INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

PUBLIC MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2010

ORIGINAL

PROCEEDINGS

in the above-captioned matter, before the Indiana Civil Rights Commission, David C. Carter, Chairman, taken before me, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., a Notary Public in and for the State of Indiana, County of Shelby, at the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Center, Room A, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, on Friday, October 22, 2010 at 12:10 o'clock p.m.

William F. Daniels, RPR/CP CM d/b/a ACCURATE REPORTING OF INDIANA 12922 Brighton Avenue Carmel, Indiana 46032 (317) 848-0088

APPEARANCES: 2 COMMISSION MEMBERS: 3 David C. Carter, Chairman John E. Garcia 4 Barry Baynard Tehiji G. Crenshaw 5 6 INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION By Jamal Smith, Director/Secretary 7 Indiana Government Center North 100 North Senate Avenue, Room N103 8 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 On behalf of the Commission. 9 OTHER COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT: 10 11 Robert D. Lange Tawanda Sharp 12 Christina Catalan 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

12:10 o'clock p.m. October 22, 2010 2 3 CHAIRMAN CARTER: The October meeting of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission will 4 please come to order, and there are four of us, 5 and therefore a quorum. 6 7 First, you have had opportunity to review the minutes of last month's meeting. 8 If I may have a motion to accept those minutes or a 9 discussion thereof, I would appreciate it. 10 11 COMM. GARCIA: I'll move we accept the minutes of the last meeting. 12 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Is there a second? 14 COMM. BAYNARD: I'll second. 15 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 16 COMM. CRENSHAW: Aye. 17 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 18 COMM. GARCIA: Aye. 19 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. Thank you. 20 Ms. Essex, the Financial Report. 21 MS. ESSEX: Good morning. You should have a copy of the September Financial Report in 22 your packet. I would be happy to take any 23

questions you would have concerning those -- that Financial Report. There is nothing pressing or 2 of any major urgency that we need to talk about. 3 Everything's going along just fine, and that's 4 the way we like it. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 7 Any questions? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All right. Hearing none, thank you, Ms. Essex. 10 11 Anyone have any old --12 COMM. GARCIA: I do have something. 13 MS. ESSEX: Sure. 14 COMM. GARCIA: On this graph --15 MS. ESSEX: Uh-huh. 16 COMM. GARCIA: -- if you could put these percentages in here somewhere, so that --17 18 MS. ESSEX: I can do that. 19 COMM. GARCIA: -- because it's not in color, and then it -- you don't need to send it 20 electronically in color, because then that would 21 just make this --22

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Bandwidth.

23

 $$\operatorname{\mathsf{MS}}$.$ ESSEX: Sure, I will take care of that and it will be done on the next report.

COMM. GARCIA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Does anyone have any old or new business?

COMM. GARCIA: Never.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. And let's see. I don't think we have any consent agreements. There aren't any listed, so we can move on to findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, and that, I guess, would bring us to the case of the Womacks and the Webbs and that.

COMM. GARCIA: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Would I -- might I have a motion about the findings of fact, conclusions of law?

COMM. GARCIA: I'll move that in the case of Womack versus Webb, that the Commission overrule the Complainants' objections and adopt the proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and order proposed by the Administrative Law Judge Lange.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Would anyone like

to second that? 2 COMM. CRENSHAW: I'll second that. 3 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 4 All in favor? 5 COMM. CRENSHAW: Aye. 6 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 7 COMM. GARCIA: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 9 Any opposed? 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All right. Do we have information from Chairperson Blackburn about 12 13 the --14 MS. CATALAN: There's no report at 15 the time. 16 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Excuse me. 17 MS. CATALAN: There's no report at the time from Comm. Blackburn. 18 19 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Nothing; okay. we will continue her case. 20 21 Mr. Baynard? 22 COMM. BAYNARD: Yes, I had a case, Collier versus Eytcheson, which is a case that I 23

```
recommended we reverse two months ago, and after
   1
       hearing more from the Respondent, I still stay
   2
       with that recommendation that we reverse and send
   3
       it back to the Commission for another hearing.
   4
   5
                   CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. May I have a
   6
      motion to accept that recommendation?
   7
                   COMM. CRENSHAW: So moved.
  8
                   COMM. GARCIA: So moved.
  9
                   COMM. CRENSHAW: Second.
 10
                   COMM. GARCIA: Second.
 11
                  CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay.
 12
             All in favor?
 13
                  COMM. CRENSHAW: Aye.
 14
                  COMM. BAYNARD:
                                  Aye.
 15
                  COMM. GARCIA:
                                 Aye.
16
                  CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye.
17
            Any opposed?
18
                        (No response.)
19
                 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Anything from
     Comm. Gidney?
20
21
                 MS. CATALAN: No report.
22
                 CHAIRMAN CARTER: No report.
    we'll continue his case.
23
```

And assignment of appeals to

Commissioners. I'm the lucky one. I get the

brass ring, for those of you old enough to

remember merry-go-rounds where there was a brass

ring. I'll take Wood versus Achieva Resources

Corporation, Inc.

And with that, it brings us to the Administrative Update.

MR. SMITH: Is that me?

MR. LANGE: That's you.

MR. SMITH: That's me.

Real quick, we had a meeting with -- I think since the last time, we had a meeting with the Human Rights Consortium, which we are a part of. Some really good conversation there.

Obviously some of the local commissions aren't doing so well. In this -- in these economic times, everyone is trying to figure out a way to pinch and cut, and reporting from some of those areas, Human Rights Consortiums locally are some of the ones bearing the brunt of that.

One of the things that we proposed is -- which I was happy to see that everyone took hold

3

5

7

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

of, but we proposed that we collaborate with a lot of those Human Rights Consortiums locally, utilize some of the resources that we have to achieve some of those that we want to achieve.

Obviously we have some very high-level issues of training that we need to do, some CLE, continuing legal education, some economic, some equal employment trainings and seminars and things that we would like to do, and from where we stand, those local human rights agencies serve as the groundwork, they serve as the local face of those communities, and to keep us from having to spend double the amount of resources to go in and figure out who to call, who to see and to sit down, we figured we'd use them as a target, as collaborative partners to establish those relationships so that we can just go in, utilize them to recruit, put in place, and we'd bring in the manpower and resources and information and things that they want to.

Obviously the relationship will differ from agency to agency, because the setup of the agency's different. Some agencies have --

similar to us, have agreements with the Feds, with HUD, with EEOC, and they've puffed their chest out quite a bit, and then there's others who don't have the connections, the funding, the resources or the authority and team for what they do, and where we could really be resources to those agencies.

I know Terre Haute comes to mind, and some others, and I know that the agency up in Gary is having some issues as well with human resources there. And even the ones that do have a lot of similar contracts and agreements with the Feds, I think that they, by and large, they have a little bit of hesitation. They don't want us to come and wipe them out, but, you know, they were open to the idea of us coming and helping, to help them put on some of the things and outreach events and resources that they are mandated to put on.

By and large, one of our objectives was to increase our presence outside the City of Indianapolis, because we are a state agency, obviously, and probably at fault. We should be

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

outside the 465 circle. And so, to do so and do so in an efficient and in a short amount of time, like I said, we want to leverage the things and people that already exist. There's no sense in us recreating the wheel.

So, long story short, it went over well, but for the most part, there was concern -- and I will put this on the table, there was concern about some of the agencies that we would come in, because the ones that are dealing with budget concerns are having to make the argument to their city-county councils in most cases that they're relevant, because what the city-county council is saying as that they're looking at the overall budget is: Why are we paying you to do something that the state could do; right?

Richmond comes into mind. Their budget has been completely yanked. There's a bit of a discussion at the meeting where the rep from Richmond says, in a nutshell, he wanted us to write a letter or send something that said we --we didn't think that it was a duplication of services.

And I told him that I could not do that, that it is a duplication of services. There's nothing that you do locally that we can't do, and although I will not make that argument, I told him that the argument I will make and that the agency will make, not just for him, but for all of the other agencies, is that you still provide a bit of relevance to the state and the taxpayers, because what you do provide that we don't is the local face to the people in the community, because we are a small agency, although a state agency, and we can't be everywhere at all times.

So, we need those conduits in various communities, and that's the services you provide, and so therefore, you save taxpayer dollars because, as a state agency, we don't have to spend sometimes two and sometimes three times the amount of resources going in create, you know, to create a presence, where we can utilize you, that you're already there.

And we can funnel some of our resources in by way of putting on events and things of that

nature. We have HUD dollars, for example, to firm up their housing, so as we go into these agencies, we have the funding to do so, funnel that to and through those local agencies, giving them some increased dollars and revenue and help them in that regard as well.

So, you know, after we had that back and forth, they kind of bought it. I think he was a little upset because his funding had already been yanked, you know, but they get where we're coming from, and at the end of the day, I told him, "We're coming, you know, whether you like it or not, so let's work together, you know, so that we all can achieve what I think we're all out to do anyway. So, good deal there.

I don't know if you guys got on opportunity to officially meet Christina.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Yes.

MR. SMITH: She is our new executive assistant. She'll be -- I think congratulations are in order, too, because she's expecting, but aside from all of that, she will be kind of the front person when someone comes into the agency.

She's doing a good job, and we welcome her to the agency.

We've also met, and I should have brought -- I apologize. I'll make sure he's at. the next meeting -- is a young man by the name of Brad Meadows, who is our new communications director, who will be responsible for getting our Web site up to speed, and if you guys have had an opportunity to look at it -- well, prior to him coming on board, it was obviously outdated. I think that on the front page is the 2006 report, and everything else seemed to be just about as bad as the front page.

So, one of the things we want to do is get somebody in to specifically address those communications needs, because let's face it, it's 2010 and the Web and your electronic pieces of communications are usually the first line, the first thing that people so see, and so we want to make sure that we present well in that front as well.

So, he's doing a good job. He just started up, getting us going. He will be at the

next Commission meeting, because we'll have that -- we're looking at coming to shoot some -- we're going to purchase a good camera. He's going to come and take some pictures of the meeting and those things, and things that we do as an agency and as a Commission should be something we put up on the Web site so people know that we are actually doing some things.

So, he'll be here, if nothing else, to do that, and I want to make sure you guys get an opportunity. His name is Brad Meadows. We welcome him.

And then one of the last additions that we're looking to have is an outreach person, someone who does outreach and event coordination. Another part of the communications for the Human Rights Consortium is the idea of us taking on and putting on the yearly conference at the state conference that we're doing. They wanted us to do that for 2011. I told them no.

My background is in events -- well, part of my background is in events in the large scale, and to do an event, especially statewide, my

experiences are in national and sometimes international events, you don't start working on them 12 months out. You're at least 18 to 24, and depending on the size, maybe three years out.

Which -- and I expressed my concern to the Consortium that I had an issue with the structure of it, because if in 2010 you had no idea who was hosting your 2011 Commission -- or Conference, I had an issue there, you know. And so, for me to take that on, and you don't even know who's hosting it, lets me know that there are some issues there.

But I did tell them that I would look into maybe us hosting here locally the 2012 conference, which would give us some time to put that together. And we haven't confirmed it. I said that I would consider it. And -- but I wanted to let you guys know that the Commission has something that we're looking to take on. I think there will be a lot of work there and we can put on some good things.

But the outreach person, where I was going with that, the outreach person would be pulled

in, would be responsible for putting on and coordinating all of our events as small and intimate as our monthly Commission meetings to as large as a statewide conference we're looking at putting on, and everything in between.

We've got an MLK event coming up in

January, which unfortunately we're having to bear
the larger brunt of that by statute. Obviously
the MLK Commission is attached to us at Civil
Rights Commission. I think their sole
responsibility is the MLK event, but the agency
tends to put on and do the majority of that work.

So, we are -- we are taking that on and working to get that accomplished, but it will be a lot of help once we get that outreach events person in, because that will be the bulk of what they do.

In addition to events, I'm -- and what I'll doing do is present this to you guys once it's complete, and it'll be done in the next couple of weeks, but the strategic plan for the agency as we move forward. It'll be in increments, and in that three years, obviously

that's a short amount time to do a lot of things, so again, we want to begin to leverage the things and the events that are already in place and the resources are already existing.

Without going into too much detail and without having the final product in front of you guys, one of the things that we want to jump on is obviously our responsibility for putting on continuing legal education, which we will jump-start again starting in 2011. The Judge and the legal staff and I have sat down and talked about the best way to go forth with that.

I think before, it was done every month.

I think we all agree that that was probably a bit too much, and so what we agreed to do is every other month put on a CLE, and what we want to do in addition to that is not do it -- not always have it here in Indianapolis, so they have to travel. So, we'll put on some of these CLE's outside of the City of Indianapolis. We're looking at Gary and Fort Wayne and South Bend and Evansville to put on some of these CLE's.

We've locked in the space here, obviously,

as a default, and then at a minimum right now we have two out-of-Indianapolis sites already locked down, and the idea is to -- regarding that funding set aside for the CLE -- is to put on a CLE, have that be the foundation of what we're doing.

And perhaps because we're already in that community or in that city, use that to leverage and do some auxiliary events, some anti, you know, discrimination seminars, maybe some fair housing trainings and things of that nature, to kind of loop those all and team up with the stakeholders there locally in the community, to help bolster the Indiana Civil Rights Commission as a grant.

Yeah, we're moving full steam ahead. So, that's quite a bit, and I apologize for not having a written report in front of you all.

I'll make sure that's in front of you guys at the next meeting, but if you have any questions for me at this time, I'll be more than happy to answer those.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Questions?

COMM. GARCIA: Anything I can do up in Northwest Indiana to help those relations -- you know, I'm a city engineer in East Chicago. I knew that they have a Civil Rights Commission. I don't know what they did. I knew the director.

MR. SMITH: Sure.

COMM. GARCIA: I knew some of the people that worked there. You know, there was a complaint here and there, but nothing like we're involved with here, but I like the idea of working with them and getting to know them, and if there's anything I can do -- I know it's a hike up there, and sometimes phone calls --

MR. SMITH: Well --

COMM. GARCIA: -- are not as good

MR. SMITH: -- we'll take that on, and you just kind of volunteered the rest of your fellow Commission members, because we will be leaning on you.

COMM. CRENSHAW: I'll be on your

MR. LANGE: Not a problem getting

list?

them.

MR. SMITH: We'll get Evansville as well, and the Hispanic demographic is one we need to target, too. You know, we're hiring Mr. Danny Lopez. That's was a strategic move. One of the things I asked when I came in was the number of complaints we got from -- from the Hispanic community, and there weren't many.

My guess is that, you know, we didn't have enough diversity within ourselves as an agency that would welcome -- that would welcome that, so, you know, we're looking to change that as well, just the whole brand of the agency and how it is.

You know, although the civil rights movement was founded, in large part, in the African-American community, we're all -- we're all protected by the civil rights laws and fair housing acts and so on and so forth. It, in and of itself, does not discriminate or pull out one particular race or culture of people to defend. It defends everybody, and if we are a state agency, we should reflect that. So, that will be

a piece of the new branding as we move forward as well.

But to kind of back up, as we move forward, I mean it's important for us to leverage again and use all of the resources that we have, so be looking forward to a phone call from us as we move into your respective communities. I think, again, it would be disrespectful for us to be coming to your communities and where you guys reside without having that conversation with you first about the time to come, who to speak with, how to speak to them, when to set up, so on and so forth.

COMM. GARCIA: Uh-huh.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ SMITH: So, we will be putting you guys to work.

.COMM. CRENSHAW: You've been in touch with Diane Clemens on the Human Relations in Evansville?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ SMITH: In Evansville. She was at the consortium meeting, and --

COMM. CRENSHAW: She and I are --

MR. SMITH: -- we had a pretty strong

conversation, because, you know, she -- you know, the Evansville consortium, they do well. I mean 2 to her credit, she's defending what -- you know, 3 her agency, and I get that, you know. 4 5 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 6 MR. SMITH: I can't argue that, but by no means -- you know, they have some of the 7 .8 same EEOC contracts, they have --9 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 10 MR. SMITH: -- the same HUD, contract, but they don't have nearly the funding 11 12 that we do. 13 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 14 MR. SMITH: They don't have nearly the resources that we do. And so, she sees how 15 16 we could be --17 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 18 MR. SMITH: -- of a help to her, but her concern was, as I mentioned, that we don't 19 20 come in and eat them up. 21 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 22 MR. SMITH: And I get that. So, what we look to do is in each city, with each

23

consortium, set up an MOU, an MOU that says -that basically dictates how, in essence, we help
them help us. And those MOU's are different.
You know, they in Evansville, as an example,
won't need as much from us as, let's say, Terre
Haute or Richmond --

COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh.

MR. SMITH: -- or, you know, Gary, for that matter. But the South Bends, the Fort Waynes and the Evansvilles of the world, they do pretty well. And so, you know, our intent -- and I told them this at the meeting -- our intent is not to come in and wipe anybody out. Our intent is to, number one, come in and establish the state presence that we should already have anyway.

COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh.

MR. SMITH: And apologize to them for not having that already here, but, you know, I think as a state agency, we have to take responsibility for the where the agency sits, and we should have a state presence. We are a state agency. So, our intent is to move in, not to

uproot you, but to work with you, and, you know, that collaboration -- you know, we understand we're different. What I did not give them is the option for us not to be in their communities.

COMM. CRENSHAW: Gotcha.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ SMITH: My message to them was, "We're coming."

COMM. CRENSHAW: Right.

MR. SMITH: "We're coming, whether you want us to or not. Let's make this a collaborative effort." And, you know, we're all here to -- I don't have 150, 200, 500 people on staff. We're a relatively small agency, so we need you. We need you guys there locally on the ground to be our eyes, to be our ears, to be our voices.

And then the byproduct, obviously, in some -- in most cities will be an uptick for us in our intake, because, for example, I like to use Terre Haute and the young man there. He said, "You know, all we can do really is try to mediate that claim. We can't --" they don't have any teeth, you know.

So, the MOU with them will look something along the lines of they are our eyes and ears. They'll be the initial contact with someone there in the community, and if no mediation can be had, then it comes to us, and we would hit it. So, they become an extension of our Intake Unit, it comes to us.

And obviously we'd like to establish that throughout the state so that the numbers that we have in our intake goes up. And if those go up, our numbers go up, our dollars go up, we get more funding in. Also with those relationships, I've also -- we've also gone out and established relationships with other auxiliary state agencies. We've got an agreement with the Indiana Housing, IHC, Indiana Housing.

COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh.

MR. SMITH: I tell the story that she's all there, she was encouraging, you know, "Jamal, whatever we can do." She's pledged, you know, a million bucks if we need it.

COMM. CRENSHAW: Sherry Siefer?

MR. SMITH: Sherry.

COMM. CRENSHAW: Okay.

MR. SMITH: And she was like, you know, part of the -- some the HUD objectives that we have to do as far as antidiscrimination, fair housing training and things of that nature that, you know, honestly they're not equipped to do.

And so, you know, I don't know -- and again, the relationship should have been established with them a long time ago, but what it allows them to do is achieve their objectives for their HUD contract, and what it allows us to do is take in, let's say, a million dollars and increase our overall budget and do some bigger and better things throughout the State of Indiana.

So, you know, things like that are what we're looking to do, you know, do a lot with a little and take advantage of what we have, try not to recreate the wheel, and make sure that at the end the day, you know, we are throughout the state, you know, we are diverse and can reach out to a diverse population of people.

You know, we continue to improve our

professionalism, improve our customer service, improve those things. And I think even this Commission meeting, as we have them on a monthly basis, is a mere composite of that, and I want to make sure that everything we do is up to par with the message that we send out.

So, that's the Executive Director's Report. I'm be more than happy to answer any questions you guys have.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I just had -- it's always a little mysterious the relationship of these local agencies, and my understanding, and what I'm saying this for is so you can correct me if I'm wrong, is that the state law -- which it's been a while since I've read it, the state law allows individual communities to establish a Human Rights Commission, but that's a local ordinance.

The variations from one to the other have to do with that local ordinance, and then there's a whole row thing where they're not technically allowed to do anything that is not in the state law, except Bloomington and Lafayette, I believe,

3

2

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

and a few others might have sexual orientation as a protected class, which if anybody objects to it, it's not going to stand up because it's not in state law.

MR. SMITH: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: But they can mediate those kinds of things; is that a correct understanding of --

MR. SMITH: Yeah, I mean that's a pretty --

COMM. CRENSHAW: Yeah.

MR. SMITH: -- pretty accurate assessment. I mean most of them are created out of our statute, but they're governed -- well, they're governed by the state laws ultimately, and also by the federal laws, but they kind of function per their local ordinances. I mean that's pretty right on.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I remember when the ADA was first passed, we had to get a specific ordinance passed in Bloomington to allow the meter maids, or gentlemen --

MR. SMITH: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- to give a ticket to somebody parked in a handicapped parking because they said otherwise it's a violation of state law, and therefore you have to get a state cop to come and do it.

MR. SMITH: Uh-huh.

not going to come and give parking tickets, and so we had to go and petition the city council for that, and I imagine a similar kind of thing exists with the civil rights law.

MR. SMITH: Well, I will say, you know, hold onto your hats, because I think once this thing jumps off and we start to integrate ourselves into these communities, God knows what's going to surface.

COMM. CRENSHAW: So, are you looking at just keeping the Commissioners meetings in Indianapolis? Because I know the previous -- well, two directors prior --

MR. SMITH: Did travel.

COMM. CRENSHAW: -- traveled.

MR. SMITH: Well, my understanding is

it works well as a central point --

COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh.

MR. SMITH: -- for you guys to meet here. I'm not opposed to the Commission meetings being here. If you guys wanted to have a discussion about it traveling, I'm open to that. I'm more concerned about the events and the things that we do from a conference standpoint, the CLE's, the trainings that we do. We need to -- you know, I'm not worried about Indianapolis. I mean we're here; right?

Another part, too, the layperson, when you walk on the street, you ask them what the Indiana Civil Rights Commission is or what it does, most would have no clue and they would give you some off-the-wall answer.

But, you know, a part of that is the rebranding that we need to do, so, you know, those efforts just need to go and extend outside of the 465 circle and make sure that we are out in the communities and doing some things that are reaching out to folks that we probably haven't reached out to at all.

In addition, too, the consortium -- the local consortiums are just local organizations that have a stake in what we do. I mean just the Back Home in Indiana, you know, that works with the folks with disabilities, there are minority groups, like Black Expo that have chapters throughout the state.

You know, we've had conversations with them about leveraging their chapters to help us do some recruitment as we do some events, as well as like Hispanic organizations, and specifically, because I think the biggest concentrations we have is in the northwest corner of the state. There are a lot of organizations up there that we can leverage to help us do some things as well. So, those organizations and folks become as important as the consortiums that we need to work with.

COMM. CRENSHAW: Are you looking at -- I know New Albany has -- I think it's New Albany -- has an issue with their police department, Evansville has issues with their police department. Have you looked at maybe

1 doing any trainings with like local law enforcement agencies, to try to keep them from --2 3 MR. SMITH: From -- we haven't looked -- it's been more of a local approach. 4 5 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 6 MR. SMITH: We haven't -- other than the Judge and the legal guys talking about what 7 kind of CLE's to do, we haven't talked 8 9 specifically about what types of training specifically --10 11 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 12 MR. SMITH: -- to do. It's just from 13 an overarching standpoint, we need to do 14 trainings and we need to be in these diverse 15 places --16 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 17 MR. SMITH: -- at these times in 18 these areas, including these people, leveraging these funds and teaming up so that we increase 19 20 our pot --21 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 22 MR. SMITH: -- obviously, and then 23 our outreach. But I mean obviously we're open to

that. We have to look more into what that is and 1. means, and specifically locally, because one 2 thing as a state agency we don't want to do is 3 get too intertangled into local issues. 4 5 COMM. CRENSHAW: Uh-huh. 6 MR. SMITH: I think that would be a land mine that we don't want to tap dance on. 7 But we definitely want to be looking at things 8 like that. If we can provide the service, then 9 10 I'm all for it. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Any more questions? 11 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 14 MR. SMITH: Excuse me; I have to go to another meeting, but I wanted to make sure 15 that I got that out, and feel free to reach out. 16 17 COMM. CRENSHAW: And thank you, Comm. Garcia, for volunteering me. 18 19 (Laughter.) 20 MR. SMITH: I'll put you guys to 21 work. 22 CHAIRMAN CARTER: They work in Bloomington, but I don't think they ever come to 23

any meetings. Okay. If you'll make note of the next meeting dates, and we can adjourn subject to staying for signatures and for our travel and per diem claim forms, which we have not yet done. б MS. ESSEX: Form. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Form. Well, there are four of us, so that's forms. That's plural. Thereupon, the proceedings of October 22, 2010 were concluded at 12:40 o'clock p.m.

CERTIFICATE

I, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., the undersigned Court Reporter and Notary Public residing in the City of Shelbyville, Shelby County, Indiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me on Friday, October 22, 2010 in this matter and transcribed by me.

Lindy L. Meyer, Jr.

Notary Public in and for the State of Indiana.

My Commission expires October 27, 2016.